Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/756,398	SAITO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Seungsook Ham	2817
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Seungsook Ham</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas E. Brown</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 June 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 4, 5, and 9		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Examiner's Amendment		
Part III.		•
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) . (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – it appropriate)